

STATE OF MINNESOTA
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

FOR THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application of the City
of Redwood Falls to Extend its Assigned
Service Area into the Area Presently
Served by Redwood Electric Cooperative

FIRST
PREHEARING ORDER

A Prehearing Conference was held on February 6, 2006, in the Small Hearing Room of the Public Utilities Commission, 350 Metro Square Building, 121 Seventh Place East, Saint Paul, Minnesota, before Administrative Law Judge Richard C. Luis.

Appearing on behalf of the City of Redwood Falls was Kathleen Brennan, of the firm of McGrann, Shea, Anderson, Carnival, Straughn & Lamb, 800 Nicollet Mall, Suite 2600, Minneapolis, MN 55402-7035.

Appearing on behalf of Redwood Electric Cooperative was Harold LeVander, Jr., of the firm of Felhaber, Larson, Fenlon & Vogt, 30 E. 7th Street, Suite 2100, St. Paul, MN 55101-4901.

Appearing on behalf of the Commission staff was Stuart Mitchell, Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, 121 E. 7th Place, Suite 350, St. Paul, MN 55101-2147.

Discussion was held among the participants and having considered all the arguments and comments, the Administrative Law Judge makes the following Prehearing Order:

INTERVENORS

1. The Department of Commerce has indicated that it will not be participating in this matter. The final date for intervention is February 21, 2006. Any person allowed to intervene in this proceeding after the date of this Order shall be bound by the terms of this Order.

SCHEDULE

2. The following Schedule is adopted and, except when modified by Order of the Administrative Law Judge, shall govern this proceeding:

Intervention – February 21, 2006

Discovery Demands – February 27, 2006.

Discovery Responses – March 30, 2006.

Prefiled Simultaneous Direct Testimony – June 26, 2006.

Prefiled Simultaneous Rebuttal Testimony – July 24, 2006.

Prefiled Simultaneous Supplemental Testimony – August 5, 2006.

Evidentiary Hearings – August 22-25, 2006.

Posthearing Schedule – Determined at Close of Hearing

8. The parties shall submit Briefs and are encouraged to submit proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions, all appropriately referenced to the official record, at such times after the close of the evidentiary hearings as shall be directed by the Administrative Law Judge.

PROCEDURE

9. The Rules of the Office of Administrative Hearings shall govern the conduct of the hearings herein. To the extent they are not superceded by the OAH Rules, the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure shall also apply.

FILING OF DOCUMENTS

10. All direct testimony sponsored by all parties shall be filed in writing with the Administrative Law Judge and shall be received by the parties in accordance with the schedule herein. All prefiled testimony shall be in question and answer format. Each witnesses' testimony shall have any attachments included as part of a single exhibit.

11. All documents filed, including prefiled testimony, shall be filed as follows:

a. Prior to the issuance of the Report of the Administrative Law Judge, the original document and one copy but **excluding information requests and responses**, shall be delivered or mailed to:

Richard C. Luis
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings
100 Washington Square, Suite 1700
100 Washington Avenue South
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401-2138

b. Fifteen (15) copies shall be filed with the Commission Secretary for distribution among Commissioners and Commission staff. The copies shall be delivered or mailed to:

Burl W. Haar
Executive Secretary
Minnesota Public Utilities Commission
350 Metro Square
121 Seventh Place East
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

c. Except as hereinafter provided, one (1) copy shall be served personally or by mail on the attorney of record for each party, or, if there is no attorney, upon the party.

d. Four (4) copies of each such document shall be served personally or by mail on Kathy Aslakson as follows:

Kathy Aslakson
Docket Coordinator
Minnesota Department of Commerce
85 Seventh Place East, Suite 500
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

e. One (1) copy of each of such document, **excluding information requests and responses**, shall be served personally or by mail on:

Janet Shaddix Elling
Shaddix & Assoc.
9100 W. Bloomington Frwy., Suite 122
Bloomington, MN 55431

f. Service and filing of documents is governed by Minn. R. 1400.5550. Where feasible, documents should be served electronically, by sending a copy of the filed document by electronic mail to persons whose electronic mail address is provided on the service list. When a document is served or filed electronically, a hard copy must be mailed promptly to the recipient. No mailing is required to persons indicated for service by electronic mail only on the service list. Where a document is served or filed only by hard copy, a contemporaneous electronic

mail must describe briefly the document and that it has been provided only in hard copy.

g. A service list is attached to this Order. The service list will be updated as needed to reflect changes to the status of parties and participants. Service of documents in the numbers required by this Order shall be made according to the version of service list effective at the time of service.

h. Subsequent to the issuance of the Report of the Administrative Law Judge, original documents shall be filed with the Executive Secretary of the Commission.

i. Pursuant to Minnesota Rules, part 1400.5100, subp. 9, the effective date of filing shall be the date the document is mailed or delivered to the Administrative Law Judge or to the Executive Secretary of the Commission.

j. Proof of service shall be filed with each filed document.

12. One copy of any document or information filed with or supplied to the Public Utilities Commission or the Commission staff relative to any issue in these proceedings which is not otherwise served on the parties or their attorneys pursuant to the proceeding hereof shall be served on the attorney of record for each party, or, if there is no attorney, upon the party.

DISCOVERY

13. All requests for information shall be made in writing to the person from whom the information is sought with a copy of the request mailed to all parties of record. No such request shall be provided to the Administrative Law Judge or the court reporter. The party responding to the information request shall provide the information requested to the requesting party within ten (10) days from the date of the request. The information need not be supplied as a matter of course to all other parties. In the event the information cannot be supplied within such ten-day period, the responding party shall notify the requesting party, in writing, within five days of the request to work out a schedule of compliance with the requesting party. All disputes concerning the reasonableness of discovery requests and the timing and sufficiency of responses shall be resolved by the Administrative Law Judge upon Motion of a party.

14. Further discovery may be had herein in accordance with Minnesota Rules, parts 1400.6700 – 1400.6950.

PREFILED TESTIMONY

15. Prefiled direct, rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony shall not be bound into the record but shall be received as an exhibit to the record. Prefiled testimony not offered into the record shall be considered withdrawn and the witness may not be cross-examined concerning the withdrawn testimony. Except for cause shown, all revisions or corrections to any prefiled testimony shall be made in writing and served upon the

Administrative Law Judge and the parties no later than five days prior to commencement of the evidentiary hearing.

ORDER OF TESTIMONY

16. Testimony in the evidentiary hearing shall be offered in the following order: City, Co-op, and any other Intervenors in the order of their intervention. Cross-examination of the witnesses shall be conducted by the parties in the same order. The offering party shall have the opportunity for redirect after each round of cross-examination; provided, however, that parties shall not cross-examine their own witnesses. Except for cause shown, any new affirmative matter that is not offered in reply to another party's direct case shall not be offered in rebuttal or surrebuttal testimony and exhibits.

17. No later than five days prior to the commencement of the evidentiary hearings herein, each party shall submit to the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission and the other parties, a list of that party's witnesses and the order in which those witnesses will appear, indicating, if necessary, any day certain for testimony known to the party calling the witness. The final order of testimony will be as established by the Administrative Law Judge.

EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES

18. Parties shall examine and cross-examine witnesses through their attorneys, if they are represented by counsel. Any party not represented by counsel may examine and cross-examine each witness through any one representative chosen by the party.

19. Except for cause shown, objections by any party relating to the qualifications of a witness or the admissibility of any portion of a witness's prefiled testimony shall be considered waived unless the objecting party states in writing its objection with particularity to the Administrative Law Judge and serves a copy of such objections on the Commission and all other parties no later than five days prior to commencement of the evidentiary hearings. If an objection is made by a party, the party shall be permitted to lay further foundation for the objection through cross-examination of the witness. Any prefiled testimony which is not objected to shall be admitted during the evidentiary hearings without the necessity of laying a foundation for the testimony.

MISCELLANEOUS

20. A final prehearing conference may be held on a date determined by the Administrative Law Judge. The purpose of this prehearing conference would be to mark exhibits to be offered at the hearing, including exhibits to be offered upon cross-examination, and portions of depositions to be introduced into the record. In the unusual case where the attorney for a party believes that an exhibit should not be disclosed to the opposing parties prior to oral examination, he or she may present a copy of the exhibit to the Administrative Law Judge with a brief memorandum of

explanation in support and circulate copies of the exhibit to the other parties at the time of cross-examination.

21. Parties are encouraged to stipulate evidence not in dispute and to narrow the scope of contested issues to the matters actually in dispute. All stipulations will be subject to public testimony to be received, acceptance by the Administrative Law Judge, and review by the Commission, which shall include the opportunity for the Commission or its staff to examine any witness on the record irrespective of the existence of a stipulation between the parties with respect to such testimony.

22. This Order is effective immediately and may be modified by the Administrative Law Judge for cause.

Dated this 9th day of February, 2006

/s/ Richard C. Luis

RICHARD C. LUIS

Administrative Law Judge